1 Chief Judge Ricardo S. Martinez 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR20-120RSM 10 Plaintiff, ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE 11 AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS DUE DATE v. SONIA L. MEZQUITA VEGA (6), 12 13 Defendant. 14 15 This matter comes before the Court on the stipulated motion of the government 16 and defendant Sonia L. Mezquita Vega to continue the trial in this matter, and to set a 17 new pretrial motions cutoff consistent with the trial date set for other arraigned 18 defendants. Having considered the motion, any responses and objections, and all the files 19 and records herein, the Court finds and rules as follows: 20 The facts supporting continuing the trial and excluding the consequent delay are 21 set forth in the Stipulated Motion to Continue, incorporated by this reference, and include 22 the following: (a) the number of defendants charged; (b) the already large number of 23 charged counts; (c) the nature of the prosecution, which includes a long-running 24 investigation into a complex multi-state and multi-national money laundering scheme; 25 and (d) the extensive volume of discovery produced, and still to be produced, including 26 extensive financial records. 27 THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 28 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (ii) that this case is the case is sufficiently unusual and complex, due

the combination of the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, as well as the existence of novel questions of fact, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation by the parties for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself by the current trial date, or for the immediate future.

THE COURT THEREFORE FINDS that failure to grant the continuance in this case would likely make the continuation of these related proceedings impossible and result in a miscarriage of justice, because failing to continue this matter for a considerable period of time would deny counsel for the parties the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, due to defense counsels' need for more time to review the considerable volume of discovery and evidence produced, and still to be produced, and to consider possible defenses and motions, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THE COURT FINDS, in light of these factors, that it is unlikely that the parties can be reasonably ready to try this matter before January of 2023, at the earliest.

THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(6) and (7), that this is a reasonable period of delay in that the defendant has indicated they require more time to prepare for trial. The Court finds that given the complexity of the case, the number of defendants, and the volume of discovery produced, and still to be produced, that more time is, in fact, necessary.

THIS COURT FINDS, therefore, that pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(6) and 3161(h)(7), the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and that they outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

THIS COURT FURTHER FINDS that all of the additional time requested between the current trial date of and the new trial date of January 23, 2023, is necessary to provide counsel for the defendants the reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that while additional defendants have yet to appear, those defendants will almost certainly need additional time to prepare for trial as well. In any event, it is well established that in multi-defendant cases, a reasonable trial

continuance as to any defendant tolls the Speedy Trial Act period as to all joined co-2 defendants, even those who object to a trial continuance or who refuse to submit a waiver 3 under the Speedy Trial Act. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6). 4 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date will be 5 continued as to Sonia L. Mezquita Vega in the case captioned above until January 23, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. 6 7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that pretrial motions will be filed no later than 8 December 1, 2022. 9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between this date and the new trial date 10 is excluded in computing the time within which a trial must be held pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161, et seq. 11 12 DATED this 26th day of May, 2022. 13 14 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ 15 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 16 Presented by: 17 s/ Vincent T. Lombardi s/ George I. Davenport, Jr. 18 VINCENT T. LOMBARDI George I. Davenport, Jr. Counsel for Ms. Mezquita Vega Assistant United States Attorney 19 1805 136th Place NE, Suite 204 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 20 Bellevue, WA 98005 Seattle, Washington 98101 Phone: 206-553-5178 206.779.9638 21 E-mail: E-mail: George Davenport Esq@outlook.com 22 vince.lombardi@usdoj.gov 23 s/ Michael G. Martin 24 Counsel for Ms. Mezquita Vega 500 Union Street, Ste 847 25 Seattle, WA 98101 26 206.624.2800 E-mail: michaelm@sidlon.com 27 28